Scientific synthetic report of the project IMMOBILIZED WILD-TYPE AND MUTANT AMMONIA-LYASES AND AMINOMUTASES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF ALPHA- AND BETA-PHENYLALANINE ANALOGUES 2012-2013

Phenylalanine ammonia-lyases (PAL; EC 4.1.3.24) catalyse the non-oxidative deamination of Lphenylalanine in (*E*)-cinnamic acid, while phenylalanine 2,3-aminomutases (EC 5.4.3.x) catalyse the isomerization of L-phenylalanine to form L- or D- β -phenylalanine, depending on the origin of the enzyme (Scheme 1.). Even though PALs are frequently found in plants, where they have an essential role in forming phenylpropanoids, only a few bacterial PALs have been identified so far. PAL and PAM are used as biocatalysts for the synthesis of L- α -amino acids from acrylates (PAL), in kinetic resolution processes for obtaining D- α -amino acids starting from their racemates (PAL), or for the synthesis of L- or D- β -arylalanines (PAM).



Scheme 1. PAL and PAM catalysed reactions. Posttranslational forming of the strong electrophile MIO group.

PAL is a homotetramer formed of monomeric subunits, which can be divided into three domains. The first is the catalytic MIO domain, where the prosthetic group is found. The amino acids sequence which codifies this prosthetic group is strictly conserved. The second domain is the central domain and the third one is known as the "shielding-domain", which presumably has a defence and/or regulation role (**Figure 5.**). The domains are connected with mobile loops. The MIO group forms as a result of the autocatalytic, posttranslational cyclisation of an internal tripeptide Ala-Ser-Gly, by the elimination of two water molecules.

Initially, we expressed PAL from parsley *Pc*PAL(eucaryotic) and PAL from a radiotolerant thermophilic bacteria *Rubrobacter xylanophilus Rx*PAL (NCBI code: YP_644511.1, Uniprot code: Q1AV79, which codifies 540 AA) in *E. coli*, with an optimal growth at 65°C and we investigated their properties.

The latter was found using BLASTp program/algorithm in the non-redundant protein database NCBI and the sequence of PAL from *Photorhabdus luminescens* (*Pl*PAL) (Duchaud et al. 2003, Williams et al. 2005) (Uniprot code: Q7N4T3). Due to favorable results (code: YP_644511.1, which codifies 540 AA), it is assumed that this could be phenylalanine/hystidine ammonia-lyase from the thermophilic bacteria *Rubrobacter xylanophilus* DSM 9941.

The gene which codifies PAL from *Rubrobacter xylanophilus* was optimized at the codon level in order to be expressed in *Escherichia coli*. By using the PCR technique, the new synthesized gene - formed of 1632 base pairs -found in the cloning vector pMK and cloned in the expression vector pBAD-HisB, has a 6xHis-tag at the N-terminal, so it can be easily purified from the lysate by Ni-NTA chromatography. Other advantages offered by the new vector are the expression regulation and the concentration dependent induction, which allows the modulation of the expression levels. The first step in the purification of the plasmids consisted of obtaining the XL1Blue competent cells, which were transformed and selected by inoculation on solid LB medium in presence of tetracycline, resistence coresponding to the strain, and carbenicillin, respectively, according to the antibiotic resistance genes encoded in the plasmids. The obtained vector was confirmed by sequencing, using pBAD promotor, "forward" and "reverse" primers (5'-CCTGACGCTTTTTTATCGCAACTC-3' and 5'-GAGGCATCCTGGTACCCCAG-3', respectively).

After the optimization of the expression, RxPAL was overexpressed in E. coli TOP 10 in a soluble form, with a 6xHis-tag at the N-terminal (*RxPAL*). The recombined protein *RxPAL* was purified by affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA. In order to analyse the expression (samples: before induction, before lysis, cellular debris and supernatant after sonication) and the purification, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed. As can be observed on the images of the gels (Figure 1.), RxPAL enzyme, with a molecular mass of 58.4 kDa, was successfully produced and purified.



Figure 1. Expression (a) and purification (b) verification of the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase protein from Rubrobacter xylanophilus (RxPAL) in E. coli TOP10 strain a. ProSieveTM QuadColor™ protein marker, 17 kDa – 250 kDa; A- cell culture before induction **B**- bacterial lysate; **C**-supernatant containing the recombinant protein b. **A**- ProSieveTM QuadColorTM protein marker, 17 kDa-250 kDa; B - F - fractions collected after the elution of the protein off the column

The enzyme activity was monitored by spectrophotometry, at a 290nm wavelength, by detection the product formation ((E)- cinnamic acid) starting from its natural substrate, L-phenylalanine. First, we focused on finding the optimal pH of the enzyme, measurements were performed in buffer solutions, maintaining constant the ionic strength and the temperature, in the pH range of 6.5-12, for 7 minutes. The pH profile in Figure 2. was obtained. On the representation of the slopes as a function of pH (the graphic on the right side), two values of optimal pH can be observed, 8.5 and 11.2, respectively. The data found in the scientific literature are similar with the first optimal pH at 8.5, but in this case the highest activity was registered at pH 11.2.



Figure 2. Activity profile of *Rx*PAL in different pH conditions

The thermal stability of the enzyme was determined by thermofluorometric measurements at a concentration of 2 mg/mL RxPAL. First, in order to determine the optimal pH regarding the melting temperature (Tm), measurements were performed in buffer solutions 100mM, in the pH range 6-12. From the pH profile (**Figure 3.a**), it can be observed that the maximum melting temperature is reached in the 8-8.5 pH range and is approximately 63°C.



Figure 3. Melting temperature profile of RxPAL in different pH conditions

The following measurements were performed in Tris-HCl buffer solution, pH 8.5 and different substrate concentrations (**Figure 3.b**), and further on in the presence of metal ions (Mg^{2+} , Zn^{2+}) or phenylalanine (**Figure 3.c**). The melting temperature was read from the negative curve of the first derivative of the experimental curve. By comparing the measurements results obtained in presence and in absence of the substrate, it can be observed that the melting temperature (63°C) is not modified in presence of phenylalanine, but in exchange, the Mg^{2+} ion in a 0.3mM concentration increases with 1.9°C the melting temperature of the protein, while the Zn^{2+} ion in 0.2 μ M concentration increases it with 1,4°C.

For the purpose of analyzing the **enzyme structure stability**, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy measurements were performed, in the presence of the natural product of the enzymatic reaction, cinnamic acid, due to the fact that the phenylalanine spectrum overlays on the spectrum of the protein. Measurements were performed in buffer solutions keeping a constant temperature (20°C), in the pH range 6.5-12, at a *Rx*PAL concentration of 2 mg/mL.





The CD spectra (**Figure 4**.) indicate the fact that the enzyme doesn't present significant modifications regarding the secondary, tertiary and cuaternary structure in the 6.5-11.2 pH range, which means that the structure is stable in this pH range. Also, it can be observed that, at pH values higher than 11.5, a part of the enzyme structure deteriorates.

The activity of the enzyme was determined by monitoring the formation of the (*E*)-cinamic acid from phenylalanine in UV, at 290nm, using different concentrations of the substrate (10-40 mM). The measurements were performed in CAPS solution with a concentration of 100mM and pH 11.4, the enzyme concentration being 2 μ M. The found Michaelis-Menten constants were K_m = 1 mM and k_{cat} 10⁻⁴s⁻¹. A possible explanation for these values could be that the nucleophile 2sulfanylethanol used in the purification interacts with the strongly electrophile prosthetic group MIO, forming an adduct which irreversibly inhibts the enzyme. In absence of the reduction agent, the thiolic groups interact intermoleculalrly, forming enzymatic aggregates(cross-linked enzyme aggregates-CLEA), which leads to precipitation[1].

By going through all the steps mentioned above, **eucaryotic PAL from** *Petroselinum crispum* (parsley, *Pc*PAL) was characterized. In contrast to *Rx*PAL, the activity of *Pc*PAL is maximum at 37°C and pH 8.8. Furthermore, the K_m 30mM and k_{cat} 34s⁻¹ values, plus the fact that *Pc*PAL proved to be a useful biocatalyst for the biotransformation of unnatural analogues of phenylalanine, qualify this enzyme to have a superior potential compared to *Rx*PAL. Nevertheless, a relatively quick inactivation of *Pc*PAL was revealed by measurements of enzymatic activity, CD and thermofluorometry.

By comparing the eucaryotic to the procaryotic counterparts, it can be stated that the latter contain approximately 20% less amino acids in their structure. The approximately 200 amino acids C-terminal multihelix loop, found in eucaryotic PALs, is positioned around the catalytic site, restricting the attachement of the substrate and the detachment of the reaction product from the enzyme (**Figure 5**). Furthermore, it is assumed that these loops structurally destabilize the enzymes.



Difference between the monomer in eucaryotic PAL and
procaryotic PAL, respectively.A. PcPALB. PlPALC. RxPAL
(model)

Figure 5. Eucaryotic and procaryotic PAL structures

Regarding the thermostability of *Rx*PAL, two factors were considered: the possibility of forming disulfide and ionic bridges. Three possibilities of forming disulfide bridges in a monomer were detected (**Figure 6a**): Cys_{35} - Cys_{116} , Cys_{321} - Cys_{478} , Cys_{231} - Cys_{228} , the last one being the intrahelical one, the least important. Cys_{35} and Cys_{116} are placed at the surface, consequently, these cysteins were transformed in serines, in order to prevent the formation of interproteic aggregates. The other cysteins are disadvantaged in forming aggregates not only because of the large number of ionizable superficial amino acids (Glu 8.5%, Arg 8.32% reported to the entire sequence), but also because of their even distribution on the surface (**Figure 6**).



Figure 6 (a) Proximal cysteins in *Rx*PAL structure (yellow domains) one of the four catalytic sites marked with red.The model of the surfaces of (b) *Pc*PAL and (c) *Rx*PAL. Acid residues (red), basic residues (blue). Isolated charges for *Pc*PAL and the even, yet acid, distribution on the surface of *Rx*PAL.

Although the aggregation possibility of *Rx*PAL was removed, the catalytic parameters of the enzyme weren't improved. This fact led us to the conclusion that, at least in the case of *Rx*PAL, the formation of disulfide bridges in the expression process is essential. Since the expression in the pBAD-HisB vector and *E. coli* TOP 10 don't allow this, we focused our attention on designing native genes which can be expressed in pET19b vector and are compatible with *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3)plysS competent cells, which assist posttranslational disulfide bridges forming. Besides the *Pc*PAL and *Rx*PAL genes, a series of native phenylalanine ammonia-lyases in the pET19b expression vectors were ordered, like the ones from *Anabaena variabilis* (wt-*Av*PAL), *Streptomyces maritimus* (wt-*Sm*PAL) and *Rhodosporidium toruloides* (wt-*Rt*PAL), but also native phenylalanine aminomutases, like the ones from *Taxus canadensis* (wt-*Tc*PAM) and *Pantoea agglomerans* (wt-*Pa*PAM). It is worth mentioning that, according to the case, the singular cysteine codons from the shielding domain (which are not involved in disulfide bridges) were exchanged with the serine codons. We present below the amino acids sequence from the wt-PAL monomer (cysteine exchanged with serine is marked with yellow):

MENGNGATTNGHVNGNGMDFCMKTEDPLYWGIAAEAMTGSHLDEVKKMVAEYRKPVVKLGGETLTISQVAAISARDGSGVTVELSE AARAGVKASSDWVMDSMNKGTDSYGVTTGFGATSHRRTKQGGALQKELIRFLNAGIFGNGSDNTLPHSATRAAMLVRINTLQGYSGI RFEILEAITKFLNQNITPCLPLRGTITASGDLVPLSYIAGLLTGRPNSKAVGPTGVILSPEEAFKLAGVEGGFFELQPKEGLALVNGTAVGS GMASMVLFEANILAVLAEVMSAIFAEVMQGKPEFTDHLTHKLKHHPGQIEAAAIMEHILDGSAYVKAAQKLHEMDPLQKPKQDRYAL RTSPQWLGPQIEVIRSSTKMIEREINSVNDNPLIDVSRNKAIHGGNFQGTPIGVSMDNTRLAIAAIGKLMFAQFSELVNDFYNNGLPSNLS GGRNPSLDYGFKGAEIAMASYCSELQFLANPVTNHVQSAEQHNQDVNSLGLISSRKTSEAVEILKLMSTTFVGLCQAIDLRHLEENLKST VKNTVSSVAKRVLTMGVNGELHPSRFCEKDLLRVVDREYIFAYIDDPCSATYPLMQKLRQTLVEHALKNGDNERNLSTSIFQKIATFED ELKALLPKEVESARAALESGNPAIPNRIEECRSYPLYKFVRKELGTEYLTGEKVTSPGEEFEKVFIASKGEIIDPLLE<mark>S</mark>LESWNGAPLPI<mark>S</mark>

The pET19b plasmids contain a His10-tag N-terminal sequence, a clivage site with an enterokinase, a gene resistant to ampicillin, a promotor T7*lac*, PAL and PAM genes, respectively. The expressions induced using IPTG in *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3)pLysS gave good yields, the purification of the proteins using Ni-NTA chromatography was performed using a 500mM imidazole solution as eluent. In **Figure 7** the genetic map of the plasmids and the electrophoretogram of different fractions obtained after the lysis of the cell wall and from the eluents of the chromatographic purification, respectively.



Figure 7. The genetic map of the plasmid used for the production of wt-*Pa*PAM and the electrophoretogram which certifies the expression and purity of the enzyme in different stages of the purification process.

Lyases and mutases obtained this way, presented similar catalytic parameters to the ones obtained previously by us (for *Pc*PAL) or presented in literature for the other proteins. As expected, *Rx*PAL activity improved, K_m 5mM and k_{cat} 0.5s⁻¹, but unfortunately, these values are not promising enough to qualify this enzyme among the preparative scale useful biocatalysts. The stability of the eucaryotic enzymes grew with approximately 10-15%, the destabilising effect of the helices from the shielding domain continue to persist.

In conclusion, it was proved that procaryotic enzymes are stable, but catalytically inefficient, while eucaryotic enzymes are structurally unstable, but possess an important biocatalytic capacity.

Next, we designed hybrid mutants, by combining the catalytic domain of eucaryotic enzymes with the shielding domain of the procaryotic enzymes, aiming to produce stable and catalytically efficient enzymes. The cleavage section was determined by aligning the sequences and visually inspecting them, taking into account two possibilities: performing one intersection including the excision of several amino acids from the host sequence (eucaryotic catalytic domain) or performing two intersections by inserting the procaryotic loop between the catalytic domain and the short C-terminal sequence, both belonging to the host domain. The second option was selected, due to the fact that an important hydrophobic interaction between the central domain and the short C-terminal sequence of PcPAL was noticed. Furthermore, the two cleavage sections are well conserved and close to the junction place (**Figure 8**).

PcPAL VEILKLMSTTFLVGLC<mark>QAIDLR</mark>HLEENLKSTVK 537 AvPAL VDIFQNYVAIALMFGVQAVDLR</mark>TYKKTGHYDAR 501 *:*:: : *: **:**** ::: :: PcPAL RASLSPATERLYSAVRHVVGQKPTSDRPYIWND 683 AvPAL RIEECRSYP-LYKFVRKELG------TEYLTGE 527 *..: **.**: *: ::

Figure 8. Parts from the sequence alignment of *Pc*PAL and *Av*PAL. The intersection conserved domains are marked with green.

Further on, we evaluated the possible hybrids and designed the amino acids sequences for the PcPAL(catalytic domain)-AvPAL(shielding domain), TcPAM(cd)-AvPAL(sd), RtPAL(cd)-AvPAL(sd) and AvPAL(cd)-PcPAL(sd). For the latter, a drop in stability is expected due to the assembling of the procaryotic catalytic domain of AvPAL and the highly destabilising shielding domain of PcPAL. The amino acids sequence for one of themonomers of the PcPAL(cd)-AvPAL(sd) hybrid tetramer is given in Figure 9a. In Figure 9b, c we can see the model monomer and the tetramer of the hybrid mutant, respectively, where in dark blue is represented the catalytic domain of PcPAL, in light blue is the intersection conserved domain from PcPAL, in red is the shielding domain of AvPAL and in vellow are the serine which replaced the singular cysteins, which are not involved in forming disulfide bridges. The design of the gene and the plasmid, respectively, took place in the same manner as described above. The expression and purification of all hybrids was performed successfully, by using the method described before. The first activity and stability determinations look promising; furthermore, the PcPAL(cd)-AvPAL(sd) mutant hybrid accepts as substrates, unnatural phenylalanine analogues. We estimate that by the end of the year we will succeed in completely characterising the activity and stability of the hybrid mutants.



Figure 9. A. Primary structure of the hybrid mutant *Pc*PAL(dc)-*Av*PAL(ds). **B**. Model structure of the monomer. **C**. Quaternary structure of the homotetramer

In paralell, a series of experiments were performed, using unnatural nitro-phenylalaninic substrates with wt-*Pc*PAL with increased stability, where the two singular cysteins (which are not involved in disulfide bridges) from the shielding domain were exchanged with serines. Plus, by moving Ser143 \rightarrow Ala143, a new mutant was created, in which the posttranslational formation of the MIO prosthetic group becomes impossible (*Pc*PAL MIO deficitary). The synthesis of the substrates is given in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2. The synthesis of nitro-phenylalanines and their corresponding acrylates

Kinetic measurements with wt-PcPAL and PcPAL-MIO deficitary highlighted, on one side, the fact that wt-PcPAL can successfully mediate the deamination of all investigated nitrophenylalanines, on the other side, the fact that the lack of the strongly electrophile prosthetic group in PcPAL MIO-deficitary is counterbalanced by the strongly electron withdrawing character of the nitro group, thus the deamination of these substrates can take place with acceptable kinetic parameters if it is mediated by PAL with a quasi-inexistent catalytic capacity as opposed to its natural substrate (Scheme 3, Table 1).



The *Pc*PAL stabilised by replacing singular cysteins with serines and by forming disulfide bridges (expression in *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) pLysS), respectively, allowed the investigation of nitro- containing substrates interaction with the MIO-deficitary mutant, which besides the practical utility of enzymatic reactions was also used to elucidate the PAL-substrate interaction mechanism.

SCIENTIFIC REPORT 2013 1. INTERACTION OF PAL WITH HETEROARIL-ALANINES

The lyases are enzymes cleaving C–C, C–O, C–N, and other bonds by elimination, leaving double bonds or rings, or conversely adding groups to double bonds. Ammonia lyases, acting on C–N bonds, catalyze de formation of α , β - unsaturated bonds by elimination of ammonia from their substrates. (Figure 1).



Figure 1.1. Natural reaction of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase

The synthesis of natural and unnatural amino acids in homochiral form is an important challenge of preparative chemistry. For example, the pharmacophores of protease inhibitors, an extremely important class of pharmaceuticals against HIV, influenza, and human cytomegalovirus, have a phenylalanine-like architecture. Principally, the ammonia-lyase reactions can be used for stereoselective production of amino acids by two alternative ways.

- One possibility is to utilize the stereoconstructive reverse reaction of the ammonia-lyases. Thus, addition of ammonia to unsaturated achiral precursors catalyzed by ammonia-lyases can be used for preparation of L-amino acids.
- On the other hand, the stereodestructive nature of the ammonia-lyase reactions can be exploited also for production of the D-enantiomers by enantiomer selective destruction of the L-enantiomers from their racemates (Fig. 1.2).



Figure 1.2. Stereoconstructive and stereodestructive nature of ammonia-lyases

1.1 Chemical synthesis of the 2-amino-3-(benzofuran-2-yl) propionic acids

The synthesis of the aldehydes, which were used as starting materials for the preparation of racemic amino acids, start from the commercially available 3-or 5-substituted-2-hydroxybenzaldehydes (Scheme 1.1). Cyclization reactions with ethyl-2-bromoacetate in presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate and a catalytic amount of 18-C-6 crown ether in 1,4-dioxane afforded the corresponding ethyl benzofuran-2-carboxylates, which were further reduced with sodium borohydride in methanol. In order to the synthesis of the corresponding acrylates the alcohols **3a-g** were oxidized with manganese dioxide in toluene.

Benzofuran-2-alcohols **3a-g** were further transformed in the corresponding chloromethylene derivatives using thionyl chloride, benzotriazole in CH_2Cl_2 . The use of 1*H*-benzotriazole

overcame the destruction of acid-sensitive compounds. The coupling of the halogenated compounds **5a-g** with diethyl-2-acetamido-malonate afforded diethyl-2-acetamido-2- ((benzofuranyl)methyl)-malonate **6a-g**. By mild basic hydrolysis of the diethyl esters, followed by decarboxylation in boiling toluene, 2-acetamido-3-(benzofuranyl)propionic acids **7a-g** were obtained.



Scheme 1.1 Synthesis of benzofuran-alanines

1.2 Chemical synthesis of the 2-amino-3-(phenylthiophene-2-yl) propionic acids

The preparations of the phenylthiophene alanines were performed taking into account the nature of the substituent of the phenyl ring. In the case of phenylthiophene- and (4-methoxyphenyl)thiophene derivatives the synthesis start from thiophene-2-carbaldehyde **9**, with was transformed into diethyl 2-acetamido-2-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)-malonate **12** via the same route described in the case of benzofuran derivatives. The compound **12** was further brominated at the 5 position of the thiophene ring with *N*-bromosuccinimide in CCl_4 and catalytic amount of perchloric acid. Hydrolysis of esters in alkali medium, and decarboxylation in boiling toluene afforded compound **14**. The desired phenylthiophene part was obtained by so-called Suzuki-Miyaura cross coupling, which is a palladium catalyzed coupling reaction between organoboron compounds and organic halides leading to the formation of carbon-carbon bonds. The *N*-protected-phenylthiophene amino acids **15a,b** were deprotected by acidic hydrolysis and the phenylalanine analogues formed *rac*-**16a,b** were isolated by precipitation at their isoelectric point (Scheme 1.2, Route 1)

2-Amino-3-(5-(4-nitrophenyl)thiophene-2-yl)-propionic acid **16c** was prepared by a similar procedure as in the case of benzofuran alanines (Scheme 1.2, Route 2). The 5-(4-nitrophenyl)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde **18** used as starting material, was obtained by a copper-catalyzed arylation of thiophene-2-carbaldehyde with 4-nitrophenyldiazonium salt of 4-nitroaniline (Meerwein arylation [43]). Further stages were performed in the same manner

described previously except the preparation of halomethyl derivative, which was accomplished using N-bromosuccinimide, triphenylphosphine in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.



Scheme 1.2 Chemical synthesis of phenylthiophene-alanines

1.3 Chemical synthesis of the (E)-5-phenylthiophene-2-yl- and (E)-5,7-benzofuran-2-ylacrylates

The corresponding aldehydes were transformed with triphenyl- λ^5 -phosphanilidene acetic acid ethyl ester into the acrylic acid ethyl esters which were hydrolysed in the presence of KOH yielding the desired cinnamates (Scheme 1.3).



Scheme 1.3. Chemical synthesis of acrylic acids

1.4. Determination of the kinetic constants for the heteroaryl alanines

The kinetic constants were determined by using a 1 mL cuvette and were recorded at intervals of 1 min over 5 min. The UV absorptions of the acrylates produced were recorded at wavelengths for which the corresponding amino acids show only low absorption. After incubation of the

enzyme (25 mg) in 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8.8 and 30° C for 5 min, various amounts of amino acids were added with the substrate concentration of the enzymatic assays varied between 05–2.5 mM.

Nr.	Substrate	3	λ (nm)	c _{WPCL} (µg)	Km (µM)	$V_{max}(\mu M/s/\mu g)$	V _{max} /V _{maxBF}
1	8a H-BF	19226	310	6.85	248	1.61E-02	1.000
2	8b 7Et-BF	21821	311	6.9	8000	2.90E-03	0.181
3	8c 7MeO-BF	32267	305	16.9	1770	2.96E-04	0.018
4	8d 5Me-BF	6318	311	28.68	133	1.48E-04	0.009
5	8f 5Br-BF	2180	310	9.64	882	2.92E-03	0.182
6	8e 5Cl-BF	15038	310	6.85	903	1.74E-04	0.011
7	8g 5NO ₂ -BF	405	400	14.5	3240	5.19E-03	0.323

Table 1.1. Kinetic constants of benzofuran-2-yl-alanines with wt-PAL from Petroselinum crispum at 30°C

Table 1.2. Kinetic constants of benzofuran-2-yl-alanines with *Rt*PAL from *Rhodosporidium toruloides* at 30°C

Nr.	Substrate	3	λ (nm)	c _{WRTL} (µg)	Km (µM)	V _{max}	V _{max} /V _{maxBF}
1	H-BF	19226	310	6.85	2670	8.0E-03	1.000
2	5Br-BF	21821	311	6.9	123	8.9E-04	0.111
3	7Et-BF	32267	305	16.9	22200	8.0E-04	0.100

Table 1.3. Kinetic constants of benzofuran-2-yl-alanines with AvPAL from Anabaena variabilis at 30°C

Nr.	Substrate	3	λ (nm)	$c_{WAVL}(\mu g)$	Km (µM)	V _{max}	V _{max} /V _{maxBF}
1	H-BF	19226	310	6.85	101	7.6E-03	1.000
2	5Br-BF	21821	311	6.9	389	3.0E-02	3.947

In the case of 3-(phenylthiophene-2-yl)alanines the effects of the reaction temperature was studied on the activity of *Pa*PAL (Tabel. 1.4)

Table 1.4. Kinetic data of the interactions of 3-(phenylthiophene-2-yl)alanines with PaPAL at different temperatures

Nr.	Substrate	3	λ(nm)	c _{WPCL} (µg)	Km (µM)	V_{max} (μ M/s)	V _{max} /V _{maxFT}
	H-FT (30°C)	14691	338	51	97	0.0033	1
	H-FT (40°C)				35.9	0.0033	1
1	H-FT (45C)				221	0.01	3.03
1	H-FT (50C)				423	0.01	3.03
	H-FT (55C)				85.9	0.016	4.85
	H-FT (60C)				61	0.05	15.15
	NO ₂ -FT (30C)	4005	290	43.2	181	0.1	1
	NO ₂ -FT (40C)				150	0.14	1.40
2	NO ₂ -FT (45C)				170	0.2	2
	NO ₂ -FT (50C)				195	0.16	1.6
	NO ₂ -FT (55C)				134	0.25	2.50
	NO ₂ -FT (60C)]			97	0.33	3.3
3	MeO-FT (60C)	120608	349	30	303	0.001	1

Feniltiofen-alanină



Diagram 1.1 Variation of the kinetic constants at various temperatures

IMMOBILIZATION OF PHENYLALANINE AMMONIA LYASE (PAL) ON FUNCTIONALIZED SINGLE-WALLED CARBON NANOTUBES (SWNT)

For the activation of the carbon nanotube 20 mg of SWNT-COOH was incubated in 3mL of 200 mM 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDAC) hydrochloride in aqueous buffer (0.1 M Tris buffer, pH 8.8) for 5 hours at room temperature and shaking at 1350 rpm.

After incubation the sample was filtered on membrane filter and then washed with aqueous buffer. To the resulted activated nanopowder 2 mg PAL in 1 mL aqueous buffer was added and the mixture was shaked at room temperature at 1350 rpm, overnight.

After the enzyme immobilization the sample was filtered and washed with 3X10 mL distilled water. Enzyme activity was measured in the filtrate and in the water as well by spectrophotometer with the Bradford method.

To the immobilized enzyme 1 mL Tris was added and the mixture was sonicated for 30 minutes. After filtration, the enzyme activity was measured again.

For the elimination reaction 1 mL of 5 mM 2-amino-3-(thiophen-2-yl) propanoic acid (in 0.1M Tris buffer) was incubated with 3 mg immobilized enzyme for 22 hours at room temperature, shaking at 1350 rpm.

After 22 hours the reaction was filtered, the filtrate was concentrated and the immobilized enzyme was reused, in the same conditions, several times, without significant decrease of the conversion.

In **Figure 2.1-2.2** are represented the ¹H-RMN spectra for the obtained mixtures, used to determine the efficiency of the reaction.



Figure. 2.1. ¹H NMR spectra of the addition reaction after 22h at 40°C



Figure. 2.2. ¹H NMR spectra of the addition reaction after 22h at 50°C

The conversion depends significantly on the temperature, according to data represented in **Figure. 2.3.** The conversion drastically decreased with increasing the temperature when using the enzyme immobilized on SWNT.



Figure. 2.3. Variation of conversion with the temperature

For highlighting the enzyme's and the support material's microscopic structure in **Figure. 2.2** TEM images are presented.



TEM image after activation of SWNT-COOH

TEM image after enzyme immobilization



TEM image after reaction

Figure. 2.4. TEM images used for the observation of the immobilization process

2. OBTAINING NEW IMMOBILIZED BIOCATALYSTS FOR SELECTIVE BIOTRANSFORMATIONS: BISEPOXIDE CROSS-LINKED ENZYME AGGREGATES

Cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs) were developed as simple and robust immobilization tools. They are generally prepared through precipitation with a suitable solvent in the absence of any carrier and then cross-linking of the aggregates formed by a multifunctional cross-linker such as glutaraldehyde (GA). In addition to the factors investigated most frequently in CLEA optimization studies (choice of solvent, amount of GA, and addition of surfactants and other chemicals), other aldehyde cross-linkers or co-immobilization of the active enzyme with an inert protein such as BSA were used.

Poly(glycidyl ethers), such as glycerol diglycidyl ether (GDE) and poly(ethylene glycol) diglycidyl ether (PEGDGE), are widely used as additives for cross-linking polymers bearing amine, hydroxyl, or carboxyl groups. , Nevertheless their use as cross-linking agents has not been reported for the preparation of CLEAs

This study demonstrates, for the first time, the usefulness of GDE as a convenient bisepoxytype cross-linker for the preparation of CLEAs and co-CLEAs of different monomeric and multimeric enzymes, which provides biocatalysts with improved characteristics.

Preparation and morphology of CLEAs from PALs

Cross-linked enzyme aggregates of Phenylalanine ammonia liase were prepared (Scheme 3.1).



Scheme 3.1. Preparation of CLEA biocatalysts

Although the operational and thermal stability and recycling of CLEAs of PAL were examined and documented, it is important to demonstrate the mechanical stability differences of CLEAs prepared with different cross-linkers through the analysis of their particle size distribution after strong ultrasonication. The particle size distribution of CLEAs from PAL before and after ultrasonication (for 300 and 600 s) is shown in **Figure 3.1**.



Figure 3.1. Change in the particle size distribution of PAL aggregates crosslinked with A) GDE and B) GA after ultrasonication for 300 and 600 s.

It was observed that the GDE CLEAs show a more uniform particle size distribution (16 μ m) and better resistance to mechanical stress after ultrasonication than the corresponding GA CLEAs (19 μ m). A comparison of the non-cross-linked coaggregates prepared from PAL-BSA and those cross-linked with GDE and GA by using SEM also revealed remarkable differences (**Figure 3.2**).



Figure 3.2. SEM images of A) coprecipitated PAL and BSA and PAL–BSA coaggregates cross-linked with B) GDE and C) GA.

To correlate morphology data of various CLEAs of PALs with their catalytic performances, as a typical example, a stereoselective reaction was studied for each type of enzyme (**Scheme 3.2**).



Scheme 3.2. Biotransformations with PAL biocatalysts.

Various CLEAs were prepared from pure recombinant PAL (from *P. crispum*) and tested in ammonia elimination from *rac* thiophen-2-yl alanine, *rac*-3, this reaction being faster than the elimination from the natural substrate L-Phe.

Although both GA and GDE–PAL CLEAs were active as biocatalysts in the elimination reactions from L-Phe or *rac*-**3**, none of them were active in the reverse reaction. In contrast, the GA and GDE–PAL–BSA co-CLEAs were active both in the ammonia elimination from 3 (Figure 3.3.A) and in the ammonia addition to 4 (**Figure 3.3.B**).

Recycling studies revealed remarkable stability differences between GA and GDE–PAL–BSA co-CLEAs (**Figure 3.3**). Although the GDE–PAL–BSA co-CLEAs retained a significant portion of their initial activities after the third reaction cycle even in the alkaline medium used for ammonia addition to 4 (6M ammonia solution, pH 10.0; **Figure 3.3.B**), the GA–PAL–BSA co-CLEAs were found to be completely inactivated after the first cycle.

Thus we can affirm that GDE cross-linking increases not only the mechanical stability of the CLEAs formed but also the operational stability compared with those of the GA CLEAs



Figure 3.3. Recycling of PAL–BSA coaggregates cross-linked with GDE and GA in A) ammonia elimination from *rac*-3 and B) ammonia addition to 4.

4. THE MODELING BY HOMOLOGY OF MIO-DEPENDENT AMMONIA-LYASES AND 2,3-AMINOMUTASES. MECHANISTIC COMPARISONS

Besides ammonia-lyases PAL and HAL, 2,3-aminomutases (PAM and TAM) which catalyse the selective formation of β -amino acids (**Figure 4.1A**) also contain as a prosthetic group 3.5-dihydro-5-methyliden-4*H*-imidazole-4-one (MIO), a strong electrofil, formed by the intramolecular ciclization of a tripeptide Ala-Ser-Gly (**Scheme 4.1B**).

The Protein Database (PDB) contains the structures determined through X-ray diffraction of 10 different enzymes in which this prosthetic group was identified: HAL from *Pseudomonas putida*, PAL from *Rhodosporidium toruloides*, *Petroselinum crispum*, *Anabaena variabilis* and *Nostoc punctiform*, TAL from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, TAM from *Streptomyces globisporus* and PAM from *Taxus canadensis*, *Taxus chinensis* and *Pantoea agglomerans*.



Scheme 4.1. A. The scheme of the reactions catalyzed by lyases (AL) and 2,3-mutases (AM); **B.** The formation of the MIO prosthetic group, common to enzymes

The sequences of the chosen enzymes were analyzed and presented in **Table 4.1**. We compared not only the enzymes which catalyse the same type of reactions, but also enzymes which catalyse different reactions.

The analysis led to a series of interesting observations. For example, by overlaying the active site of *Pl*HAL and that of *Av*PAL (**Figure 4.1A**) it can be observed that the Gln452 and Glu412 residues are localized at the same position, close to Tyr314. Gln411 residue from *Pl*HAL is in the same position as Asn451 from *Av*PAL.



Figure 4.1. The catalytic site of aromatic ammonia-lyases and aminomutases (green) by comparison to the active site of AvPAL (3CZO, pink). **a**. The homology model of PlHAL **b**. Crystalline structure of TcPAM **c**. Homology model of SmPAM **d**. Crystalline structure of RsTAL **e**. Crystalline structure of SgTAM.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eng. Paizs Csaba